



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1480  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,254	06/19/2001	Jan Buckner	027695-00001	5619

7590 09/06/2005

SAM HUANG AND ARENT FOX PLLC  
1050 Connecticut Avenue  
Washington, DC 20036-5339

EXAMINER
----------

LIM, KRISNA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/884,254

Applicant(s)

BUCKNER ET AL

Examiner

Krisna Lim

Art Unit

2153

## All Participants:

(1) Krisna Lim.

(2) Mr. Glenton Burgess.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Ms. Rene Blocker.

(4) Mr. Buckner and Letourneau.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☐

Telephonic

☐

Video Conference

☒

Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Hsu

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Inventors and counsel discussed the invention, the claimed invention and Hsu reference. Moreover, Mr. Gurgess, Examiner discussed how the examiner examiner the application in light of the claimed language. Also, we discussed the applicant's argument..